

Attorney Docket No. 10191/4093 D STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant(s)

Bernhard MATTES et al.

Serial No.

10/561.566

Filed

May 31, 2006

For

METHOD FOR DETECTING IMPACT BY WAY OF AN

UP-FRONT SENSOR SUITE, AND APPARATUS FOR

CARRYING OUT THE METHOD

Art Unit

3661

Examiner

Gertrude Arthur JeanGlaude

Confirmation No. 2880

Customer No.

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class soal 26646

in an envelope addressed to:
Mail Step MENDMEN Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

(33,865)

TRANSMITTAL

SIR:

Transmitted herewith for filing in the above-identified patent application is a Quayle Amendment.

While no fees are believed to be due, the Commissioner is authorized, as appropriate and/or necessary, to charge any fees (including any Rule 136(a) extension fees) or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 11-0600. A duplicate copy of this transmittal letter is enclosed for that purpose.

Dated: 6/18/8007

Respectfully submitted,

KENYON & KENYON LLP

Gerard A. Messina

Reg. No. 35,952

One Broadway New York, NY 10004

(212) 425-7200 CUSTOMER NO.: 26646

Attorney Docket No. 10191/4093

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant(s)

Bernhard MATTES et al.

Serial No.

10/561,566

Filed

May 31, 2006

For

METHOD FOR DETECTING IMPACT BY WAY OF AN

UP-FRONT SENSOR SUITE, AND APPARATUS FOR

CARRYING OUT THE METHOD

Art Unit

3661

Examiner

Gertrude Arthur JeanGlaude

Confirmation No.

2880 I hereby contify that this correspondence is being deposited with a United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail

Customer No.

26646in on envelope addressed to

Mari Stop MEMM

Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Signoture:

AARON C DEDITION (33,865)

## Quayle AMENDMENT

SIR:

In response to the Quayle Office Action mailed on June 1, 2007, the two-month response date for which is August 1, 2007, in which the Examiner has stated that the application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters as to the substitute specification and claim 31, and that prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11 (453 O.G. 213), please reconsider the above-identified application based on the following:

Amendments to the Specification appear in page 2 of this paper.

Amendments to the Claims are reflected in the listing of claims which begins on page 3 of this paper.

Remarks begin on page 8 of this paper.